Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson



CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print	1:00
Date and time of this complaint: $5/25/20/8$	1 -0 / // incident #.
Reference Complaint #: Depu	ity Taking Complaint: CT. T. SmiT ID#: #9
Complainant: Thomas First	Middle Last
Address: 5127 Gray Lh 618 Street City	$\frac{1}{2}$ State $\frac{3257}{2}$
Home Phone: 850 22 1-9977 Work Phone:	pa 101-gan
Date and time incident occurred: 5/20/20 8	2,30 am
Location/Address of occurrence: 1983 W	10 Milton FL 32571
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):	ustyh Stevens
Witness:	Page 10 and 10 a
Name Street Address	City/State Home Phone Work Phone
Nature of Allegation(s):	t See Attached document.
entre del Commondatorio El Barrari bila popular considerativo del common di	grave box as a vijum vijusteksens unsecare de suis automa Travelmad et li 1931 - Sentande a grave ja de te despetar en 1997 - Sentandung vijusteksen product 1931 - January 1971 - Sentandra de samana automa en 1981 - Sentandung vijusteksen underland
	TONICA E CONTRA
 emoget Wed advisoring hebitable tool affective over heavy in policing an autocommon form an appropriate to entre our ments. 	Aprillary and the formal and the second of the formal and the second of
galio destra police distributo parsintata Representata y mon 28,7 m juli 1917 d. Z. Anti India ha malakalarian mandi 20	r egi as ur ur myrek kritir rette opter tedgendefindae er Meho podmelument er tedde kritik bestimmen kriti. V unsi her andah ur ut og ur ur minda politischen kritischen begreich er er er sich hat kontrolle voor.
	The complete had to value and on establish 228 Later model that the only " 228 C".
	The second secon
	184 Ben State of the State of t
The second secon	

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office

Findings:	10/5 20		
	532	And the Market	
	TMA 191		
Actions Taken:			10.25
13-027	L Stockhol William	<u> </u>	A Commission will be said the et al.
The Thomas of the	T 73 miles		
<u> </u>	40		<u> </u>
Final Clearance:			
Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incidence of the regular and the regular an	dent occurred as described, but	the member was found not to be
Sustained		ne investigation revealed sufficient	ent facts that the allegation(s) were
Not Sustained Unfounded Partially Sustained	The investigation discloration reveals	ed sufficient facts to indicate the	at the incident did not occur. ne of the allegations is sustained.
	on original Complaint: In	vestigation discloses violation(s	not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:			
Date:	By:		A STATE OF THE STA
Comments:			Configuration of the Configura
	Lateral sa		Concernation to security
Please check here if the com	plainant refuses to have pe	ersonal contact by a deputy.	
false, misleading or untrue statements, a complaint, may subject me to civil and/with the intent to mislead a public serva a definite term of imprisonment not exe "Any person who is a particip conducting the investigation and any wi investigation, including, but not limited	accusations of allegations, here or criminal liability under Flor ent in the performance of his o eeding 60 days and a fine of \$ ant in an internal investigation, thesses in the investigation, we to the identity of the deputy u	rein made by me, either orally or in rida State Statute 837.06, "Whoeve official duty shall be guilty of a misc \$500.00." n, including the complainant, the survivo willfully disclose any informational investigation, the nature of the	e questions asked, information revealed or
becomes public record as provided in the 775.083." Florida State Statute 112.533	e section, commits a misdeme	eanor of the first degree, punishable	complaint, document, action or proceeding e as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
I hereby acknowledge that Have read the Signed:	ne preceding and understand i	ts provisions.	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	2,574 day of MAS	20/8	
Witness: (Per F.S.S. 117.10)	129/		

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office

On the morning of 5/22/201 at the Whataburger (4983 Highway 90 Milton, FL 32571) in front of Walmart, approx. 2:30am CST, I had a conversation with a Deputy Justyn Stevens of the Santa Rosa Country Sheriff's Office. I was working my shift that morning, when I was told by my Team Leader Alexis Byrd to come to the lobby and speak with a guest. I didn't think anything of this at the time, often regular customers like to talk to me, if it's not busy. When I approached the table my Team Leader was standing by, she was joking around with a Deputy Stevens and 3 other Deputies. I had a previously met with Deputy Stevens on the morning of 04/02/2018 (citation number: A97BPIE).

I understand that talking about details of that stop could be considered "shop talk", if it was discussed among the four Deputies and I don't have an issue with that. My concern here is that not only did Deputy Stevens bring my personal matters into my place of my employment by discussing this with a fellow employee, but also the exacting detail of everything related to the stop, with some attempt at a "punchline" or joke after most of the descriptions.

My Team Leader, Alexis, thought this was funny and laughed at me, and amidst the laughter I believe she referred to Deputy Stevens by his first name. Which to me would indicate some level of a personal relationship. I remained professional and answered the questions the Deputies had for me, afterwards I went back to my duties.

I will be filling a complaint with my place of employment's Human Resources, as Deputy Stevens revealing this information to someone with authority over me and whom did not need to know this information, has led to several incidents of attempted workplace intimidation by my Team Leader.

The most egregious being, "If you don't, I'll call Justyn." As I did not know Deputy Stevens's first name at this point, I asked who that was. My Team Leader's, Alexis, response was, "The deputy that was here earlier, the one that pulled you over."

I wanted to let the Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office know that I don't have an issue with Deputy Stevens personally. As I recall, he was exceedingly professional during the traffic stop. It's just that this instance of what I would call unprofessionalism, by mixing private life and work, has directly lead to issues at my job.

I'm not looking for an apology or anything like that. I know the SRSO holds their deputies to a higher standard than this and I hope this can be a reminder that we expect the same.

Team Leader Alexis Byrd.